



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/689,496

10/20/2003

Lloyd F. Linder

535352003700

4268

7590

10/17/2007

David T. Yang, Esq.
Morrison & Foerster LLP
Suite 3500
555 West Fifth Street
Los Angeles, CA 90013-1024

EXAMINER

TON, MY TRANG

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2816

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

10/17/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/689,496

Applicant(s)

LINDER ET AL.

Examiner

Tuan V. Nguyen

Art Unit

3731

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Tuan V. Nguyen.

(3) _____

(2) Kristin Neuman (Reg. No. 35,530).

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 05 October 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: 14 and 26.

Identification of prior art discussed: References that were used in Office Action mailed 5/30/07.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Claims 14 and 26 were discussed with respect to the references were cited by examiner in previous Office Action. The Examiner maintained that the Boston Scientific Sale Order is a proper prior art of record and the combination of the references was proper and supported by motivations. However, applicant's representative disagreed.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.


ANH TUAN T. NGUYEN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
10/12/07

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required